

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Kamat Tower, Seventh Floor, Patto Panaji-Goa

**CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar,
State Information Commissioner.****Appeal No. 34/2017**Shri Jawaharlal T. Shetye
H.No. 35, ward No 11,
Khorlim Mapusa-Goa
V/s

.....Appellant

1. Public Information Officer (PIO),
Dy. Collector and SDO Bardez,
Mapusa, Bardez-Goa
 2. The First Appellate Authority (FAA),
Additional Collector-I,
Panaji-Goa
-Respondents

Appeal filed on: 7/04/2017

Disposed on:- 16/10/2017

ORDER

1. Brief facts leading to present appeal are as under: the appellant Shri J. T. Shetye by his application dated 3/01/2017 sought certain information on 5 points from the PIO Deputy Collector, Bardez, Mapusa with reference to representation dated 25/11/16 made by him.
2. According to the appellant the application dated 3/1/17 which filed under 6(1) was not responded by Public Information Officer (PIO) as such he preferred the 1st appeal before the Respondent No. 2, Additional Collector-I, North Goa, being First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 10/02/2017.
3. According to the appellant the Respondent No. 2 issued notices to him and hearing were taken on 3 occasion despite failed to passed an appropriate order within stipulated time.

4. As both the Respondent did not adhere to his request for furnishing the information and being aggrieved by the action of both Respondents, have approached this Commission on 7/04/17 with the prayer to penalise Shri Chandrakant Shetkar, then PIO u/s 20(1) of RTI Act and for initiating disciplinary proceedings. Vide said appeal he has also sought for the relief for implementation of section 4(1)(a) and 4(1)(b) of Right to Information Act.
5. In pursuant to notice of this Commission appellant was present in person. APIO Shri Keerti Kumar Bandodkar was present who filed reply on 4/09/2017. Shri Gaurish Sankhawalkar also appeared during subsequent hearings.
6. As undertaken by the present PIO, the information was furnished to appellant by Registered A. D.
7. On subsequent date of hearing on 16/10/2017 the appellant appeared in person and submitted that he has received information by post and it is to his satisfaction and requirement.
8. He showed his desire to withdraw the same and accordingly made his endorsement on the last page of memo of appeal.
9. In view of his submissions and the endorsement made by him I find no reason to proceed with the present matter.
10. Appeal dispose as withdrawn proceeding stands closed.

Pronounced in open proceedings. Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Sd/-

(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)

State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa